IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA MARTINSBURG

TADASHI DEMETRIUS KEYES,

Petitioner.

v. CIVIL ACTION NO.: 3:17-CV-31

(GROH)

JOE COAKLEY, Complex Warden,

Respondent.

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

On this day, the above-styled matter came before the Court for consideration of

the Report and Recommendation ("R&R") of United States Magistrate Judge James E.

Seibert. Pursuant to the Local Rules, this civil action was referred to Judge Seibert for

submission of a proposed R&R. Magistrate Judge Seibert issued an R&R [ECF No. 9]

on May 22, 2018. In the R&R, Judge Seibert recommends that the Petitioner's petition

be denied and dismissed without prejudice.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court is required to make a de novo

review of those portions of the magistrate judge's findings to which objection is made.

However, the Court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the

factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or

recommendation to which no objections are addressed. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140,

150 (1985). Failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of de novo review and

of a Petitioner's right to appeal this Court's Order. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Snyder v.

Ridenour, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir. 1989); United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91,

94 (4th Cir. 1984).

Objections to Magistrate Judge Seibert's R&R were originally due within fourteen

plus three days of the Petitioner being served with a copy of the same. 28 U.S.C. §

636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). Service was accepted by the pro se Petitioner on May

25, 2018. ECF No. 10. The Petitioner filed a Motion for Extension of Time to Respond

on June 8, 2018 [ECF No. 11], which this Court Granted. See ECF No. 12. The

Petitioner had until July 11, 2018, to file any objections to Magistrate Judge Seibert's

R&R. After allowing additional time for transit in the mail, the Court finds that the

deadline for the Petitioner to submit objections to the R&R has passed. No objections

have been filed. Accordingly, this Court will review the R&R for clear error.

Upon careful review of the R&R, it is the opinion of this Court that Magistrate Judge

Seibert's Report and Recommendation [ECF No. 9] should be, and is hereby, ORDERED

ADOPTED for the reasons more fully stated therein. Therefore, the Petitioner's § 2241

Petition is **DENIED** and **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**.

This matter is **ORDERED STRICKEN** from the Court's active docket. The Clerk

of Court is **DIRECTED** to mail a copy of this Order to the Petitioner by certified mail, return

receipt requested, at his last known address as reflected on the docket sheet.

DATED: July 23, 2018

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2